

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
O.O.C.J.

APPEAL NO.352 OF 2005
IN
CHAMBER SUMMONS NO.1132 OF 2003
IN
EXECUTION APPLICATION NO.396 OF 1998
IN
CASE NO.A/36/96-97

Manju Krishana Murari Agrawal

.. Appellant

v/s.

P.D.Agarwal & Sons & anr.

.. Respondents

Mr.R.M.Shah for the appellant.

CORAM : R.M. LODHA AND
J.P. DEVADHAR, JJ.

DATED : 5th July, 2005

P.C.

Heard Mr.R.M.Shah, the learned counsel for the
appellant.

2. We perused the impugned order. The learned
Chamber Judge found that the applicant (appellant
herein) failed to establish her tenancy rights in
the subject premises. The case set up by the
applicant that she carries on the business in the
subject premises in the name and style of Devesh
Enterprises has not been believed. The learned
counsel for the appellant could not show us any
material that may justify the view different from
that taken by the learned Chamber Judge.

3. No case for interference is made out. Appeal is dismissed in limine.

(R.M. LODHA, J.)

(J.P. DEVADHAR, J.)